Occasional Bulletin

Website: www.emsweb.org

Relational Theology and Relational Missiology

Enoch Wan

his paper is written with the purpose of introducing relational theology and relational missiology. It is a sequel to the earlier study on the "Paradigm of relational realism."1 The thesis of this paper is that relationship is foundational in Christian faith and practice, and a prerequisite to systematic/practical theology and missiology. The assumption is "missiology" is within the encyclopedia of theology and is a branch of practical theology like preaching and pastoral counseling. The paper covers three major elements in the sequence of "being" (ontological)², "knowing" (epistemological)³ and "doing" (missiological) within a relational framework.4

Definition of Key Terms

• "relational theologizing"⁵ is systematically doing theology by way of relational approach (i.e. derived from the relational characteristic of the Trinity and "relational realism paradigm") and its resultant theological understanding is "relational theology"

• **"relational missiology"** is the practical outworking of relational theology in carrying out the *missio dei* and fulfilling the Great Commission

• *"missio dei"*⁶ is the Triune God pressing Himself out thus showing forth His nature of love, communion, commission (sending) and glory.

Possible Factors Precluding the emergence and choice of Relational Paradigm in Western Learning and among Evangelicals

To some readers who are not preconditioned by the traditional theological orientation of the West may find "relational paradigm" self-evident. Others, who are so entrenched theologically in Western tradition may immediately dismiss "relational paradigm" to be a corruption by existentialism, postmodernism and neo-orthodoxy. Several factors are identified below to explain "why" that is the case in the West.

Historical overview. Academic enquiry and former learning in Western civilization began in the Renaissance and the emerging of the modernist paradigm. The domi-

emphasis at the expense of "relational reconciliation," individualistic (i.e., over emphasis on personal decision for salvation and doubting household conversion of multiple individuals or multiple-generations), rationalistic (e.g., schematic and analytical system), to name just a few.

Thus, conservative evangelical Christians burned bridges that could lead anywhere close to a relational approach in Christian faith and practice. In Christian faith for example, we are very alarmed by the danger of Karl Barth's relational understanding of revelation being neo-orthodox. We are "allergic to" or hypercritical of charismatic understanding of spirituality (i.e. a strong emphasis on relational reality of the Holy Spirit in Christian believer's experience) being extremist. Relational Christian

Conservative evangelical Christians burned bridges that could lead anywhere close to a relational approach in Christian faith and practice.

nance of the "scientific paradigm" required an objective and impersonal posture. The motto, "I think therefore I am" of Descartes, provided an impetus for the rationalist orientation ("I think") and existential element ("I am") with its individualistic and humanistic tendency based on the capital "I" in the entire undertaking. The closest to "relational theology" was Martin Buber's "I-Thou" existential paradigm which was drowned out by the voices of atheistic scientism, narcissistic individualism, self-indulging hedonism, functionalistic pragmatism, etc.

Theological overview. In various ways, some theologians of the Western tradition hold to a dichotomist view (e.g., either divine or human nature in Christology, either God's sovereignty or man's free will in soteriology, either inerrant or not inerrant in bibliology), forensic ("justification") in doctrines of salvation, spirituality, etc., are customarily reduced to rational schemas and scientific formulae.

Christians in the West also have a tendency to misuse relational approach in practice. For example, the careless use of "friendship evangelism" tends to cheapen relationship to become a means to an end. Christian practice such as genuine fellowship, cell groups, accountability groups, pastoral ministry and Christian counseling are often turned into programmatic, instrumental, entrepreneur approaches for the sake of operational management and quantifiable outcomes for verifiable success in conformity to worldly value system.

Theoretical overview. Christian scholars have many theoretical options such as rationalism and realism, individualism and socialism, idealism and pragmatism⁷, etc. There are various kinds of realism, e.g.,

The Occasional Bulletin is published three times a year by The Evangelical Missiological Society (EMS). For more information about EMS, an application for membership in EMS, or a copy of the Occasional Bulletin, write: EMS, P.O. Box 794, Wheaton, IL 60189.

NATIONAL OFFICERS

President, F. Douglas Pennoyer 138000 Biola Ave., La Mirada, CA 90639-0001 Phone: 562.903.4844 Fax: 562.903.4851 Email: doug.pennoyer@biola.edu

Exec. V.P. Admin. Enoch Wan

5511 SE Hawthorne Blvd., Portland, OR 97215 Phone: 503.517.1904, Fax: 503.517.1801 Email: ewan@westernseminary.edu

V.P. for Constituency Relations, Fred Smith P.O.Box 800806 Toccoa Falls, GA 30598 Phone: 706.886.7299 x 5424 Email: fsmith@tfc.edu

V.P. for Finance & Membership, Timothy R. Sisk 820 N. LaSalle Blvd., Chicago, IL 60610 Phone: 312.329.4492 Email: tsisk@Moody.edu

V. P. for Corporate Affairs, A. Scott Moreau 501 E. College Avenue, Wheaton, IL 60187 Phone: 630.752-5949 Fax: 630.752-7125 Email: A.S.Moreau@wheaton.edu

V.P. for Publications, Kenneth D. Gill Billy Graham Center, Wheaton College Wheaton, IL 60187-5593 Phone: 630.752.5533 Fax: 630.752.5916 Email: Kenneth.D.Gill@wheaton.edu

Occasional Bulletin Editor, Bob Lenz 1385 W. Hile Road, Muskegon, MI 49441 Phone: 231.799-2178 Email: Boblen22@cs.com

Webmaster: Mark Allison mallison@tfc.edu

REGIONAL OFFICERS

Northeast V.P., Dwight Baker OMSC, 490 Prospect Street, New Haven, CT 06511 Phone: 203.624-6672 Email: Baker@omsc.org

North Central V.P., Robert J. Priest 2065 Half Day Road, Deerfield, IL 60015 Phone: 847.317-8137 Fax: 847.317.8128 Email: rpriest@tiu.edu

South Central V.P., Michael Pocock 3909 Swiss Avenue, Dallas, TX 75204 Phone: 214.841-3689 Fax: 214.841.3697 Email: MPocock@dts.edu

Northwest Regional V.P., David Stockamp 6012 SE Yamhill St., Portland, OR 97125 Phone: 503.235.3818 Email: DAS3STOCK@aol.com

Southwest Regional V.P., Tom Steffen 13800 Biola Ave. LaMirada, CA 90639 Phone: 562-903-4844 Fax: 562-903-4851 Email:tom.steffen@biola.edu

Southeast Regional V.P., J.D. Payne 2825 Lexington Rd. Louisville, KY 40280 Phone: 502-897-4498 Fax: 502-897-4042 Email: JDPayne@sbts.edu

Rocky Mountain Regional V.P.: Fran Blomberg Denver Seminary 6399 S. Santa Fe Drive, Littleton, CO 80120 Phone: 720-227-5058 Fax:303-761-8060

Canada V.P., Glenn A. Flewelling Box 4291, Three Hills AB TOM 2N0 Canada Phone: 403.443.5835 Fax: 403.443.5540 Email: glenn.flewelling@prairie.edu classical realism, naïve realism, critical realism; but not "relational realism." Christian theologians have many choices in theological paradigms such as dispensationalism and Calvinism.

Many evangelical scholars have sold out to "rationalism" and theological conservatism, that any inklings of a relational emphasis would be immediately deemed to be unacceptable for being 'existentialist,' 'extremist' or 'neo-orthodox.'

For rationalist, the maxim is "I think therefore I am;" but for a Christian "relationalist" (he who embraces the proposed paradigm on relationship):

—"'I AM' therefore i am" ontologically⁸
—"'I AM" therefore 'i know'" epistemologically

— "'I AM' (missio dei) therefore 'i am'"missiologically⁹

Relational Approach in Theology

Being—"relational realism paradigm." "Relational theology" is based on the "paradigm of relational realism," God is the absolute One who transcends time, space and circumstance; whereas His created order remains otherwise. A maxim for "relational realism" is that the great "I AM" as self-revealed in Exodus 3:13-15 in contrast-distinction to the confession and lament of Moses "who am I?" (3:11). In that light, we can derive the maxim for "relational realism" ontologically—" 'I AM' therefore i am."¹⁰

Returning to the Scriptures, one can easily find "relationship" woven intricately within a complex of multi-dimensional, multi-level and multi-context system as shown in Figure 1.

Knowing—"relational theological paradigm."

A. The source of human being & understanding is "relationship." If ontologically, the maxim for relational approach be "'I AM' therefore i am," then, in this study epistemologically it is "'I AM' therefore 'i know'." General (or natural) human knowledge stems from the fact that mankind is relationally created in the "image of God" with mental capacity, perceptual and conceptual competency. Theological knowledge of God and His work (in creation, salvation, etc.) is only possible due to one's "relationship" with the self-revealing 'I AM' (see Figure 2).

The basis of human knowledge is "relationship." As evangelicals, we affirm the close relationship between the Triune God and humanity as shown in Figure 3.

Due to the limitation of this paper, the following presentation might seem to be "simplistic;" but a "simple fact" of evangelical theology is that there is a relational basis¹¹ for Christian faith and practice as shown in Figure 4.

As the maxim of this study, "'I AM' therefore i am" ontologically expresses relational realism paradigm, so also "'I AM" therefore 'i know'" epistemologically expresses relational theological paradigm as outlined in Figures 5, 6,7.

Exodus 3 is a key passage that provides the biblical foundation for the thesis of this study as shown in Figure $6.^{13}$

Built on the ontological foundation of "'I AM" therefore i am" is the epistemological application as outlines in the Figure 7.

B. The key of Christian doctrine is "relationship." The reality of Christian life and living is relationally based as shown in Figure 8.

Since the emphasis of this paper is not a 'historical theology" approach that examines carefully the context and content of Christian doctrines through out church history; the following discussion follows an approach that is more a study of "systematic theology." (See Figure 9.)

The Trinitarian nature of *missio dei*¹⁶ is multi-context (divine and human) and multi-level (both at micro and macro levels). For evangelicals, Christian practice is to be based on sound theology that is grounded in biblical truth. The context of Christian practice is within a network of relationship. The relational reality of Christian practice is outlined in Figure 10.

Due to the limitation of this study, missiological application of this paradigm in matters of communication, church planting, etc. are not being treated. However, the foundation for relational missiology is relational theology and the relationship between them is outlined in Figure 11.

Conclusion

I believe that the emphasis on "relationship" of this study is both biblically sound and contextually relevant for the evangelical Christian community. Christian faith and practice are presented within a relational framework; especially in cross-cultural context. Traditional Western, categorical, definitional theologies prove difficult in non-Western contexts to live out within intensely relational societies. In a

Figure 1: Relationship: multi-dimension, multi-level, multi-context (Wan 2006:3)

Order/ System		Relationship: multi-dimension, multi-level, multi-context		
	ted order	Essence	ence –Absolute, transcendent, infinite	
—Triune God		Existence	-Tri-unity of Father, Son & H.S. with perfect harmony	Phil. 2:1-11
	Angel	Essence	 –Not absolute, perfect, infinite; but superior to humanity and nature –Since the fall disharmony 	Heb. 1:14, 2:6-8, 16
с		Existence	-Created and ruled by God	
R E A T E D R D E R	Human	Essence	 Strife, conflict, disharmony since the Fall Within the redeemed humanity: reconciled & mediated by Christ with unity restored & harmony obtained 	Gen. 1:26-30; 2:7-9; 5:1-2; 9:1-7; Ps. 8 Heb. 2 Eph. 2:11-22
		Existence	 -Willed to existence by God ("let us") -Created with God's breathe & image both male & female (reaffirmed even after the fall and the flood) -Designated by God with authority to rule and subdue, provided with food -Blessed by God to be fruitful and multiply & sustained by God 	
	Nature/	Essence	 -Harmony before the Fall -Cursed & groaning for redemption -"Shalom" ushered in by the messianic role of Christ⁷ 	Acts 17:26 Eph. 2:1-14 Col. 1:16-18
	Animal	Existence	-Created & sustained by God -Cursed after the Fall, restored in Christ: by/for/through Him	

Figure 2: Human Being & Knowing within a Relational Network

LEVEL	DIMENSION	RELATIONSHIP	
BEING	Beginning	 — all life & breath from God —"made of one blood all nations of men" (Rom. 11:25-26) —created in God's (Gen. 1:26-27) 	
(ontology)	Sustaining	—"For of him, and through him, and to him, are all things" (Rom. 11:36) —"For in him we live, and move, and have our being" (Acts 17:28) (Cf. Rom. 11:36; Heb. 1:10)	
	Destiny	—"God will judge the world" (Acts 17:31)	
	Natural Knowledge	 —perception: acquired through senses (relate to natural order) —conception: acquired through mental power (relate to natural, social and spiritual order) 	
KNOWING (epistemology)	Revelatory Knowledge	 —general revelation: creation & conscience (Ps. 19:1-2; Rom. 1:20); [culturally interpreted] —special revelation: theophany, miracle, inspiration, incarnation, etc. of God's self-revelation (Mal. 3:1; Col. 1:19; John 14:17; 15:26; 20:22); [linguistically interpreted] —spontaneous revelations: prophecy, tongues, words of wisdom and knowledge, sign and wonders (1 Cor. 12:14); [personally interpreted] 	

Figure 3: Relationship between Triune God and Humanity (Wan 2006:4)

TRIUNE GOD	relationship	HUMANITY	CHRISTIAN
Father	-Created, ruled & sustained by God (Ps. 103;	—Male or female, Jew or Gentile, slave or free	—known, called
	19-22 to Ps. 104)	all are one in Christ." (Gal. 3:28)	—foreordained
Son	 —"in Him we live, move & have our being"	—"all togetherone bodyone Lord, one faith,	—atoned, mediated
	(Acts 17:26) —"byforthrough Him" (Col. 1:15-20) —"first fruits of the Spiritthose God fore-	one God and Father of all, who is over all and	—redeemed
	knewpredestined calledjustifiedglori-	through all and in all" (Eph. 3:1-4; 7)	—reconciled
Holy Spirit	fied" (Rom. 8:1-30; Gal. 4:1-7)		regeneratedindwelledendowed (gifts)

post-Christian and post-modernist era of the 21st Century, a relational comprehension and implementation are essential.

Since God's special revelation came to us in mostly narrative forms describing experience in relationship with God, a relational theology may prove more reasonable and more truthful across cultures than those theologies that depend heavily upon semantic categorization of abstract propositions in the Western tradition. Traditional missiology of the West is managerial, programmatic, pragmatic and outcome-base (humanly anticipated)¹⁷; but relational missiological paradigm is returning to God (*missio dei*) and relying on the relational reality with Him for His glory.

In summary:

• **"being"**—"'I AM' therefore i am" ontologically (relational realism paradigm)

• **"knowing"**—"'I AM' therefore 'i know'" epistemologically (relational theological paradigm).

Figure 4: Relational Theological Paradigm: Christian Faith and Practice

Relationship/ dimension	RELATIONAL BASIS	RELATIONAL REALITY
FAITH	 God's faithfulness & self-revealing Christian trust/commitment to God 	-doctrine from church history-systematic theology
	–individual level	-regeneration, sanctification
PRACTICE	-converging (individual + institution)	–spiritual warfare ⁹ –discipleship ¹⁰ & evangelism
	institutional level	-worship, fellowship

Figure 5: "I AM' therefore i am" & "i know therefore 'I AM'" — General¹²

MAXIM LEVEL/PARADIGM	"I AM'	therefore I am"
Ontological "relational realism"	Triune God –self-existing –inra-Trinitarian Relationship ¹¹ –the One to bless	Man –created in God's image –dominion & stewardship –marital union: "two one" being blessed
	RELATIONALITY	REALITY
	"I AM	therefore 'i know'"
Epistemological "relational theologizing/ theology"	God's self-revelation to man -the Giver of life & free will; but setting the boundary for man (Matt. 11:25-27; Jn. 1:14-17)	 -positive; good provision & clear instruction warning given & endowed with free will -negative: tempted to "know" beyond what is revealed, as God knows (Gen. 3:5)
	SYSTEMATIC THEOLOGY OF GOD	PRACTICAL THEOLOGY OF SELF AND ACTION

Figure 6: "'I AM' therefore i am/i know" — Exodus¹⁴

LEVEL	RELATIONALITY & RELATIONSHIP		
	"'I AM'	therefore i am" (v. 3)	
Ontology "relational realism"	God's self-identification: –"I AM THAT I AM" (14) –God of the forefathers (15,16) –name forever, memorial for all genera- tions (15)	Moses: "who am I?" (11) –"This is what you are to say: "I AM has sent me to you'" (14) –"I have watched over& I have promised" (16,17) –"I will stretch out my hand" (20,21) –Being sent with a mission (9-10)	
	RELATIONALITY	REALITY	
	"I know	therefore (in light of) "I AM" (vv. 4-5)	
Epistemology "relational theologizing/theology"	God's self-revelation: -appeared in a flame of fire (2) -called Moses by name from the bush (4) & identified Himself in relation to three previous generations (5-6) -knew their sorrows, affliction & gave the promise, came down (7-8)	Moses: "what shall I tell them?" (11) -"God of (forefathers) sent me" (15) -sandals off, face hidden (5,6) -"be with youwill worship" (12) -granted the favor (21) -promised to set free; not to go empty handed and would sojourn & spoil the Egyptians (22)	
	SYSTEMATIC THEOLOGY ABOUT GOD	PRACTICAL THEOLOGY OF SELF AND ACTION	

Figure 7: Illustration of "'I AM' therefore I am/I know"—Psalm 100

LEVEL	RELATIONALITY & RELATIONSHIP		
	"'I AM'	therefore i am" (v. 3)	
Ontology "relational realism"	GOD (all powerful, perfect, infinite) —Lord (sovereign) —Creator (self-existing) —King (ruler) —Shepherd (care-giver)	MAN (powerless, imperfect, finite) —subservient (submit) —creature (limited by time & space) —subject (rules) —sheep (care-receiver)	
	RELATIONALITY	REALITY	
	"I know	therefore (in light of) "I AM" (vv. 4-5)	
Epistemology "relational theologizing/theology"	GOD —the Lord is good —His mercy is everlasting —His truth endures to all generations	MAN —enter His gates with thanksgiving —into His courts with praise —be thankful unto him & bless His name	
	SYSTEMATIC THEOLOGY ABOUT GOD	PRACTICAL THEOLOGY OF SELF AND ACTION	

Figure 8: Relational Reality between 'I am' and 'i am'

R	ELATIONSHIP DISCIPLINE	RELATIONALITY 'I AM'	REALITY 'i am'	
	FATHER	-God of Abraham, Isaac & Jacob (Ex. 3:15, 16; 4; 6:3; Deut. 9:5, etc.)	-revealed to Moses (Ex. 3:15-16)	
		–ldentity: "ἐγω՝ εἶμμ" (Jn. 8:24, 28, 58)	–Pharisee rejected him (Jn. 8:39, 52, 59)	
		"God so loved the world that	-He gave His son (Jn. 3:16)	
		-bread given by the Father (Jn. 6:32) -sealed by the Father (Jn. 6:27)	-Christian: take/believe (Jn. 6:47; 54) -non-Christian: refuse (Jn. 6:64) -disciples: "you give them to eat" (Lk. 9:13)	
	SON	-"that I may do the will of the one having sent me" (Jn. 6:39)	-believer: obey (Jn. 7:17) -non-believer: disobey (Jn. 8:31)	
		-God made Jesus both Lord & Christ (Acts 2:36) -exalted by the Father, received the Spirit from the Fa- ther as promised (Acts 2:33)	-believers are to worship Jesus as Lord & Messiah (Acts 10:34-38) -exalt Jesus Christ & fill by the Holy Spirit (Eph. 18-20) -"has poured out the Spirit that you now see" (Acts 2:33)	
0GY		-"except having been given to him of the Father" - "no one can come to me"(Jn. 6:65)	— chosen to be saved (Eph. 2:5-10)	
THEOLOGY	HOLY SPIRIT	-Sent by the Father in the name of the Son (Jn. 14:16, 26)	-receive the Spirit as gift (Acts 2:38)-the Holy Spirit as the "deposit guarantor" (Rom. 8:16-17)	
	Chosen people —Abraham's descendants	-Promised to Abraham, Isaac, Jacob (Mk. 12:26; Luke 13:28; 20:37) & be God of Abraham, Isaac, Jacob (Acts 3:13)	-to be God's people (Ex. 19:5-6) -to love, obeyHim (Deut. 30:20) -crucified the One being sent (Acts 3:14-16)	
	Chosen people/ Christian	INDIVIDUAL -individual: God as heavenly father: caring (Matt. 5-6) -Jesus Christ reconciled man to God KING DAVID -Gracious to David in spite of the fact that he repeat- edly failed and sinned	 -known by name, joint-heir with Christ (Heb. 7: Ps. 51) -temple of Holy Spirit (1 Cor. 6:19) -reconciling others (2 Cor. 5:18-20) KING DAVID -"who am I & who are my people that wegive" (1 Chron. 29:13-29) 	
	church	INSTITUTION –Jesus as head & groom –Jesus Christ: priestly function & God's chosen one (Heb. 7)	 -body bride of Jesus Christ (Eph. 5:22-33) -the temple of the Holy Spirit (1 Cor. 3:16) -chosen people, royal priesthoodbelong to God (1 Peter 2:9) 	
	Christian life & living	 -prayed to the Father, exalted in the Spirit (Luke 10:21-22) -submits to the Father (Jn. 8:49) -God cares for us (Matt. 5) 	 -prayed to the Father, in Jesus' name, by the Holy Spirit (Rom. 8) -submit to Jesus Christ & one another (Eph. 4:21) -care for one another & needy (1 Jn. 3:16-18) 	

Figure 9: Relational Understanding of Christian Faith Systematically¹³

RELAT DISCIP		DOCTRINE	RELATIONALITY	RELATIONAL REALITY
	SYSTEMATIC	Theology Proper	–Triune God –Trinitarian paradigm ¹⁵	-Father, Son, Holy Spirit in perfect communion & harmony
		Anthropology	–Man & woman created in God's image –In Adam (sinners) vs. in Christ (new creation) (Rom. 5-6)	-Given dominion over the created order -in marriage, family & community -fallen but reconciled by Christ -will be judged in the last regenerated/indwelled by the Holy Spirit
		Christology	–Sent by and submitted to the Father, justifier –Mediating/reconciling	 -Came due to obedience to the Father -Atoning death for man because of love -Exalted to rule supreme over all
ТНЕОГОСУ		Pneumatology	 The Spirit of Jehovah; Spirit of Christ (Isa. 11:2; 61:1; Rom. 8:9) Sanctifier Comforter 	 -InspiredBible; Illuminatetruth -Regeneration & indwelling; empowering/endowing gifts -Testifier/teacher/guide (Jn. 15:26; 14:26; Acts 16:6) -Glorifying the Father and the Son
		Bibliology	-inspiration-illumination-transforming power	 Aid in knowing God and His will for salvation, edification, sanctification, Bread of life, light unto path, etc. (Ps. 119)
		Soteriology	–foreknowledge, love –covenant, calling –atonement, justification	-baptism=union—Christ's death/resurrection -communion = blood —new covenant -one body/spirit/hope/X7 (Eph. 4:1-7)
		Ecclesiology	–in Christ all made one –reconciled: Jews, gentile –joint-heir	 -love, faith, hope = all are relational reality -church local/universal, communion of saints -body/household/temple/priesthood/etc.
		Eschatology	–Christ will come back for his own –final victory & glory	-the white throne judgement & the millennium -wedding feast of Lamb & the new Jerusalem -God's perfect will fulfilled, mankind blessed
			(Practical: See	Figure 10)

Figure 10: Relational Understanding of Christian Practice

RELATION/ DISCIPLINE		DOCTRINE	RELATIONALITY	RELATIONAL REALITY
			(Systematic	:: See Figure 9)
		Regeneration	Divine transformational power on Christians	-Christians born again by God's grace and transforming pow- er through their faith
		Sanctification		 -Christians become more Christ-like, Spirit-led by obedience to God, resistant against Satan, worldliness and the flesh
	PRACTICAL	Spiritual warfare	Demonic influence	 -Christians are victorious by God's power -Non-believers in the Kingdom of darkness, enslaved by the flesh, conformed to the world
тнеогоду		Worship	God glorified by Christians who celebrate together	 God receiving adoration & prase from HIS children who have unity in belief and behavior
THEO		Fellowship	The Trinity has perfect fellowship & complete union	 -Christians joined in unity of faith, new life and enjoined by divine love -With Christ as the Head of the Church and they as members of His body, the Church is a living reality and public testimony of true love
		Discipleship	Christian responding to Christ's calling by commitment and consecration	-Christ's followers who are committed with loyalty, disciplined by God's truth and display a Christ-like lifestyle to glorify Him in individual walk & collective testimony
		Evangelism	Sharing the Gospel to win others to Christ	-Sharing the good news with others & all who believe in Him shall be reconciled, born again, joining the family of God, be freed from Satan & sin to become God's children

	ATIONSHIP/ PLINE/ACTION	RELATIONALITY 'I AM' (missio dei)	REALITY 'i am'	
	general	God presses Himself out & His nature of love, com- munion & glory (Jn. 1:14, 18, 3:16; 12:28, 13:32; 17:1, 4,5, 10,24; 21:19; Matt. 9:8; 17:1-8; Lk. 13:13; 1 Jn. 4:6-12)	Likewise Christians are to manifest this quality of God's love, communion, glory (Matt. 5:13-16, 10:16; Jn. 15:16; Acts 1:8; Rom. 15:6; 1 Cor. 6:20; 1 Pet. 2:12; 4:16; 1 Jn. 3:9- 19)	
	witnessing	–"the Father witnesses concerning me" (Jn. 8:18) –Holy Spirit witnessing Son (Jn. 15:26) –Scripture witnessing Son (Jn. 39)	-"you are my witnesses" (Acts 1:8) & Christians are to witness (jn. 15:27)	
	commission	-"as the Father sends me, so send I you" (Jn. 17:18)-"all authoritygiven to me" (Matt. 28:18)	-"Holy Spirit said, 'SeparateI have called Them' (Acts 13:2); "therefore make disciple by going" (Matt. 28:19-20)	
	empowering	-Father on the Son by the Spirit in ministry, resurrec- tion & exaltation (Acts 10:37; Rom. 1:4; Phil. 3:9-10)	–authority given (Luke 10:19) –examples: disciples + Paul (Acts 2,4; Rom. 5:16-20)	
MISSIOLOGY	evangelizing	 God desires many will be saved (2 Peter 3:9) God's grace is sufficient for all to be saved 	 -in obedience to God, Christians evangelize: moved by the compassion for the lost, empowered by the Holy Spirit with gifts 	
MISSIM	glorifying	the Father glorified the Son (Acts 3:13; Jn. 12:28) The son glorified the Father (Jn. 17:4 Holy Spirit glorifies the Son (Jn. 16:13-14)	 -good testimony glorifying God (Matt. 5:16) -failed to give gloryserious consequence like Herod (Acts 12:20-23) -Christians are to glorify God in life, living, labor (service & ministry) (1 Cor. 6:20; 10:31; 2 Cor. 4:15; 1 Tim. 1:17; Rom. 5:5-20) 	
	grace	-God's nature is gracious & He freely gives to all -Source of grace (Jn. 1:14-17; 2 Cor. 8:9; Acts 20:24; Rom. 1:5; 2 Cor. 9:8; 2 Tim. 1:2, 9)	 -common grace to all (James 1:17) -special grace for the chosen (Eph. 1:6-7) -changed Saul to Apostle Paul (1 Cor. 15:9-11; Eph. 3:7-13; 1 Tim. 1:14-16) 	
	gift	-gifts endowed by the Father, Christ & Holy Spirit (Trinitarian formula X2 in 1 Cor. 12:4-6, 12-27; Eph. 4:7-12)	 -special form of grace for service: glorify God & build up church -be stewards of gifts (I Peter 3:10-11) 	
	reconciling	-"God in Jesus Christ reconciling the world to him- self" (2 Cor. 18-19; Rom. 11:15)	-"he has committed to us the message of reconcilliation therefore Christ's ambassadors" (2 Cor. 5:19-20)	

Figure 11: Relational Missiology of "'I AM' (missio dei) and 'i am'"

• "doing"—"'I AM' (missio dei) therefore 'i am'" (manifesting His nature of love, glory and sending—relational missiological paradigm).

Endnotes

1. Enoch Wan, "The Paradigm of 'Relational Realism," *Occasional Bulletin*, (Wheaton, Illinois: Evangelical Missiological Society, Spring 2006b), 1-4. The following is a summary:

• Epistemologically, "relational realism" is the systematic understanding that "reality" is primarily based on the "vertical relationship" of God and the created order and secondarily "horizontal relationship" within the created order. Reality and truth are best to be comprehended and experienced in relational networks of God & the created orders (3 systems in existence: angels, humanity and the natural order).

• Ontologically, "relational realism" is the systematic understanding that God is the absolute Truth, the most Real; only in relationship to Him that there is the existence of human reality and the possibility of human knowledge of truth (Acts 14:14-17, 17:24-31).

In this study, "paradigm" is understood to be "a coherent conceptual model for philosophical postulation and scholarly research (Kuhn 1970, Barbour 1974) or "the researcher's epistemological, ontological, and methodological premises" or "interpretive framework" (Denzin & Lincoln 2000:19).

2. "Ontology"—the systematic study of issues related to the nature of being and the reality of existence.

3. "Epistemology"—the systematic study of issues related to the nature, essence and means of knowledge and truth.

4. "Relationship"—the interactive connection between personal beings (or "Beings" in the Triune God) whereas "relationality" is the generic quality of being connected.

5. "Theologizing"—the systematic study of God (e.g. His attributes) and His Word and works, and "theology" is the resulting understanding and practice.

6. Bosch states, 'The *missio dei* is God's activity, which embraces both the Church and the world, and in which the Church may be privileged to participate...'mission has its origin in the heart of God'." (Bosch, 1991, p.391,.389).

8. The "I AM" is God's self identification and "i am" (lower key) is an intentional designation for man in contra-distinction to "I AM."

9. Our Triune God is characterized by love, communion, commission (sending) and glory. Also see Kevin Daugherty 2007, John A Mc-Intosh 2000.

10. For discussion on the theme and text on "I am" of Exodus 3:13-15, see Cronin 2007, Foutz 2007, Freedman 1960, Laney 2007, Piper 1984. 11. On 'relational theology,' see:

• Book by F. LeRon Shults, *Reforming Theological Anthropology: After the Philosophical Turn to Relationality*, Grand Rapids, Mich.: Eerdmans, 2003;

• Review article of the book by Shults, "Towards a Truly Relational Theology: A Conversation with F. LeRon Shults" by Maarten Wisse, University of Heidelberg, German at www.arsdisputandi.org/index.html? www.arsdisputandi.org/publish/articles/000160/index.html (retrieved Nov. 20, 2007).

• Electronic chat room on 'relational theology' visit: www.opensourcetheology.net/ node/1256 (retrieved Nov. 20, 2007).

12. Commenting on Exodus 3:15, Keil & Delitzsch (1981, vol. I: 442) stated, "God would even manifest Himself in the nature expressed by the name Jehovah, and by this He would have all generations both know and revere Him...the name, expresses the objective manifestation of the divine nature;...memorial, the subjective recognition of that nature on the part of man."

13. The proper name of the God of Israel is given in Hebrew as הוה often supplied with the vowels of the noun "my lord" אַלֹהִים or the noun אַלְהִים . In Ex. 3:14, the name by which the Lord refers to himself is אָרָה which means 'I am'. The question of course is then how do we get יהוה from אָהִיה Some have opted that יהוה is an ancient secondary form of



ne of the books on my library shelf is titled Charts of Christian Theology and Doctrine by H. Wayne House. It is probably familiar to most of you. As I read Enoch Wan's article for this issue of Occasional Bulletin, I am reminded of that book. Enoch has done a masterful job in conveying the connection between relational theology and relational missiology. This is the third in a series by our author, and his writing takes us to a clear understanding of theology in all of its disciplines and makes that connection with missiology in a succinct manner using charts (a specialty with him!) to spell out this connection. We usually think of theology in the sphere of academia, even though God wants us to make it applicable in our daily lives. Enoch does just that as he associates the theory with reality. Then he takes it to a higher level as he spells out the doctrines in a missiological context with application. We have dedicated the entire issue to his thinking, and believe that we will be the richer for having done so.

the 1 common singular imperfect of the 'to be'. The problem with this suggestion is that we have no known witness for this. What we do know is that most middle *yod* verbs were originally middle vav verbs. So the shift from the vav to the yod is well attested. The name and the logical translation of the name in Exodus. 3:14, as "I am" seems to refer to the existence of God. So, the message to the Egyptians is that the one who truly exists sends Moses back to his people. I would simply propose that the later name יהוה is derived from this idea of existence, and that this name is the way the people of Israel referred to God: "he who exists" over against all the idols who were merely the work of man and had no existence beyond their physical representation. In LXX John's use of έγὼ εἶμι (John 8:24, 28, 58) is a reference to the deity of the Hebrew name in Ex 3:14.

14. God's appearance to Moses as of observed by Keil and Delitzsch (1981:442), "God therefore told him (Moses) this name..'I am that I am' and designated Himself by this name as the absolute God of the fathers, acting with unfettered liberty and self-dependence..."

15. Wan 1996.

16. For earlier works of the same emphasis, see Wan 1996a and ""Understanding 'Relationality' From A Trinitarian Perspective," (Wan 2006a).

17. There is both a relational emphasis (Trinitarian missiology) and critique of managerial / entrepreneur style of Christian missions in the West at the Global Consultation on Evangelical Missiology, Iguassu Brazil and the The Iguassu Affirmation. See www.ctbi.org.uk/downloads/ccom/ documents/010424%20Richard%20Tiplady. doc (retrieved Nov. 1, 2007).

List of References

Barbour, Ian G. 1974. Myths, Models and Paradigms: A Comparative Study in Science and Religion. New York: Harper & Row.

Bosch, David. 1991. Transforming Mission: Paradigm Shifts in Theology of Mission. Maryknoll: Orbis Books.

Corin, K. J. 2007. "The name of God as revealed in Exodus 3:14 : An explanation of its meaning," www.exodus314.com/The%20Name%20of%20Go d%20as%20revealed%20in%20Exodus%203.14.pdf (retrieved October 15, 2007).

Denzin, Norman K. and Yvonna S. Lincoln (eds.). 2000. *Handbook of Qualitative Research* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publication.

Keil, C.F. and F. Delitzsch. 1981. Commentary on the Old Testament in Ten Volumes. Vol. 1, The Pentateuch. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans.

Kevin Daugherty. 2007. "Missio Dei: The Trinity and Christian Missions," *Evangelical Review of Theology*, April 2007, Vol. 31 Issue 2, p.151-168.

Foutz, Scott David. 2002. "Exodus 3:14 and the Divine Name: Textual and Historical Considerations" *Quodlibet Journal*, Volume 4 Number 4, November 2002 www.quodlibet.net/foutz-name.shtml (retrieved October 15, 2007).

Freedman, David Noel. 1960. "The Name of the God of Moses." *Journal of Biblical Literature*, Vol. 79, No. 2 (June, 1960), pp. 151-156.

Kuhn, Thomas. 1970. The Structure of Scientific Revolutions. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Laney, J. Carl. 2001. "God's self-revelation in Exodus 34:6-8," *Bibliotheca Sacra*158 (January-March 2001):36-51. Dallas Theological Seminary http:// faculty.gordon.edu/hu/bi/Ted_Hildebrandt/ OTeSources/02-Exodus/Text/Articles/Laney-Ex34-BSac.pdf (retrieved October 15, 2007).

McIntosh, John A. 2000 "Messio dei" in Evangelical Dictionary of World Missions. A. Scott Moreau (ed.) Baker.

Piper, John, 1984 "'I Am Who I Am' says the Lord (Exodus 3:13-15)," September 16, 1984 (Morning), Bethlehem Baptist Church, (retrieved October 15, 2007) www.google.com/search?source=ig&hl=en& rlz=&q=i+am+that+i+am+-+exodus

Wan, Enoch. 2006a. "Understanding 'Relationality' From A Trinitarian Perspective," with Mark Hedinger in *Global Missiology*, Trinitarian Studies, January www.globalmissiology.org.

2006b. "The paradigm of 'relational realism." Occasional Bulletin, Vol. 19, No. 2, p. 1-4, Spring 2006, Evangelical Missiological Society. ■



Evangelical Missiological Society P.O. Box 794 Wheaton, IL 60189

-Bob Lenz, editor

ADDRESS CORRECTION REQUESTED